

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who	
1.	Attendees and apologies	Attendees and apologies			
	Present:	Cllr Mark Connolly (WC), Cllr Charles Howard (WC), PCSO Maria Downham, Tony Pickernell (TCAP), Humph Jones (Tidworth TC), David Harbuttle (Enford PC), Brian Jarrett, Gareth Rogers (WC), Andy Cole (WC), Spencer Drinkwater (WC)			
2.	Notes of last meeting				
		The minutes of the previous meeting were verbally agreed at the area board meeting 16 th November 2016			
		Link can be found at https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=176&M https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=176&M https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=176&M https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=176&M https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=176&M https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=176&M https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wilt.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wilt.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx https://cms.wilt.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx			



		With the schemes agreed below, there will be £1680.74 to carry forward into the next financial year, along with £3K contribution from TTC for the Tidworth and Perham Down schemes. Next year's allocation will remain at £11,757.	
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes		
a)	Issue No: 4198	CATG noted that works commence on 14 Mar 16.	
	Collingbourne Ducis Knapp Close.		
	More details are available by following the link which is updated with information provided by Highways officers:		
	<u>Issue 4198</u>		
b)	Issue No: Unknown Bulford Road Issues More details are available by following the link which is updated with information provided by Highways officers	Group agreed plans for dropped kerbs presented and to recommend to AB that the scheme should proceed at a cost of £8.4K but that the CATG fund £5K with WC central pot funding the £3.4K.	
c)	<u>Issue No: 4190</u>	NFA as the site did not meet the criteria for a crossing.	
	A338 – Pennings Road Crossing Request	Remove from list for next time.	



d)	Fosbury Speeding	CATG agreed to recommend to the AB that new signs and village gateways be installed at a cost of £2995		
e)	Issue 4258 Lambdown Terrace – Warning Sign	CATG to recommend to AB that two warning signs and "slow" road markings are installed at a cost of £1699.		
5.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	Somme Road Cyclepath	Foot/cycle path will be completed by the end of Mar 16. The lighting will be completed in Jun 16. Official opening in Aug. Initial discussions held between WC and Defence Infrastructure Organisation for a foot/cycle path from Perham Down to Tidworth. S106 funds from Castledown Business Park can be used to help fund this.	DIO to investigate further.	DIO
6.	New Requests / Issues			
a)	Issue 4393 Tidworth - Kennet Road There is an informal crossing in Kennet Road at its junction with Bourne Road. However there is no dropped kerb on either side of the road for disabled or people with prams.	CATG agreed to obtain a design and cost for dropped kerbs on either side of Kennet Road at its junction with Bourne Road, with white bar lines on either side of the dropped kerbs on the Eastern side of the road (Forest Court).		



b)	Issue 4408	Issue has support of CDPC.	
	Collingbourne Ducis Request for traffic signs to Post Office / Village Stores.	Having considered supporting a request from Mr W Lee for the erection of a sign indicating the location of the Village Shop and Post Office Cllrs agreed in principle to support the application on the proviso that the sign is erected on existing street furniture/lamp posts and that the sign is similar in size to those signs that have been erected by similar small local businesses. Regards Phil Philip Gill P Gill Clerk to Collingbourne Ducis Parish Council CATG supported proposal provided the applicant fund all costs.	
7.	Other items		
a)	Issue 4199	CSW now back in operation. NFA.	Remove from list for next time.
	A345 Enford Hill		
	Link 4199		



b)	Issue 4200 Wylye Road Link 4200	Await metrocount results. Post Meeting note: Results sent to Community Engagement Manager, 85%ile speed of 30.0mph. Site does not meet criteria for community speedwatch.	NFA and remove from list for next time.	
c)	Freight Strategy	 CATG agreed that its two schemes to be considered will be: The A342 (Butt St, Castle St and High St in Ludgershall) in conjunction with Ludgershall Road, Collingbourne Ducis following the opening of the Link Road in Tidworth. The A345 from Durrington to Enford. 		
7.	АОВ			
			Chair to write to Portfolio Holder for Highways to ask for policy guidance for street scene staff on the issue of stones on verges following ongoing problems on C32, Enford.	МС
8.	Date of Next Meeting: 18	th April 2016		

Tidworth Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers



1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Tidworth Area Board.
- 2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Tidworth Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of £0

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications